Federal Compliance Filing by Institutions
Effective September 1, 2019–August 31, 2020

Institutions should answer the questions below. The Federal Compliance Overview provides information about the applicable HLC policies and provides an explanation of each requirement.

Note that some federal requirements are related to and accounted for in the Criteria for Accreditation or Assumed Practices. Those related Criteria and Assumed Practices have been identified for cross-referencing purposes. Cross-references are also provided to the Code of Federal Regulations. Because HLC may, in some cases, require more of its institutions than the federal regulations, it is important that institutions write to HLC’s requirements to ensure their compliance not only with the federal regulations but with HLC’s expectations. Lastly, although cross-references to the Code of Federal Regulations are provided here, an institution is always responsible to ensure that it is familiar with the full and current text of those regulations, as they may from time to time be updated.

Submission Instructions
This form and any required appendices should be uploaded to the Assurance System no later than the institution’s lock date, unless otherwise noted. Instructions for uploading the documents are provided in the Assurance System. The necessary supporting documentation should be directly responsive to specific documentation requested. While there is no minimum expectation with respect to length, the completed Federal Compliance worksheet, including all appendices, should not exceed 250 pages.

Institution name: Schoolcraft College

1. Assignment of Credits, Program Length and Tuition

Provide web addresses to the following:

- Policy (or set of policies) and procedures for assignment of Credit Hour for all types of courses, disciplines, programs, credential levels, formats, regardless of modality.

- Course or program credit assignment procedures. (Note: The Federal Compliance reviewer will contact the institution’s Accreditation Liaison Officer after the Federal Compliance materials are received to request a sample of course and program materials. The purpose of the representative
Describe the process the institution utilizes to verify length of academic period and compliance with credit hour requirements through course scheduling.

In alignment with the Department of Education Federal Code §600.2 and 600.24 under the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, Schoolcraft College defines a credit hour as the amount of work needed to achieve identified learning outcomes established for each course:

(1) One hour of classroom or direct faculty instruction and a minimum of two hours of out of class student work each week for approximately fifteen weeks for one semester or trimester hour of credit, or ten to twelve weeks for one quarter hour of credit, or the equivalent amount of work over a different amount of time; or

(2) At least an equivalent amount of work as required in paragraph (1) of this definition for other academic activities as established by the institution including laboratory work, internships, practica, studio work, and other academic work leading to the award of credit hours.

Credit hours serve as a basic unit for progress toward a credential or degree and must accurately represent the students’ commitment to the time, rigor, and level of learning associated with learning needed within each course and within each academic program.

Schoolcraft College assigns credit and contact hours by

- Identifying the program outcomes that meet industry or transfer needs *
- Determining the desired learning outcomes for a particular course within the program (skills, knowledge, and attitude)*
- Developing course competencies*
- Assigning 1 credit or contact hour for every 3-6 competencies in the course*

*while taking into consideration third-party accreditation, certification, or licensure requirements when applicable

Following this process, the College estimates that this level of learning aligns to the required minimum of 800 minutes per credit hour; this which is implemented in scheduling classes with 54 minutes of instruction and 6 minutes of passing time per class hour in alliance with state and federal common practice.

The accumulation of credit hours may result in the completion of a credential that may progress from one level of learning to the next and may result in the summative accomplishment of an associate’s or bachelor’s degree.
For more information see Federal Requirements 34 CFR §§602.16(a)(1)(viii), 602.24(f), 600.2, and 668.8(k) and (l).


### 2. Institutional Records of Student Complaints

Provide the web address to the institution’s complaint policy.

Link: [https://www.schoolcraft.edu/college-policies/student-complaints](https://www.schoolcraft.edu/college-policies/student-complaints)

Policy # 2160 is being revised and went to the Board of Trustees in September for the first reading.

Provide the web address to the institution’s complaint procedure.

Link: [https://www.schoolcraft.edu/scaware/sc-aware](https://www.schoolcraft.edu/scaware/sc-aware)

For more information see Federal Requirement 34 CFR §§602.16(a)(1)(ix) and 668.43(b).

3. Publication of Transfer Policies

Provide the web address to the institution’s transfer policies.

Link: https://www.schoolcraft.edu/schoolcraft-to-u/transfer-options/transfer-out

https://www.schoolcraft.edu/docs/default-source/College-Policies/2210-5--transfer

Provide the web address where the public can access a list of institutions with which the institution has established articulation agreements. Note that you do not need to provide the full articulation agreements themselves, only the list of agreements that you make public. This list should include the name and location of the agreement partner, the extent to which the institution accepts credit for courses offered by the partner or offers courses for which credits are accepted by the partner, and any credit limitations.

Link: https://www.schoolcraft.edu/schoolcraft-to-u/transfer-options/transfer-out/articulation-agreements

Provide the web address where current and prospective student can ascertain the institution’s transfer requirements in addition to what will and will not transfer.

Link: https://www.schoolcraft.edu/schoolcraft-to-u/transfer-options/transfer-out/transfer-guides

For more information see Federal Requirements 34 CFR §§668.5, 668.8, 668.43(a)(11) and 668.43(a)(12).

Related HLC Requirements: Core Component 2.A and Assumed Practice A.5.D.

4. Practices for Verification of Student Identity

Does the institution have students enrolled in distance or correspondence courses, as defined in federal definitions?

☑ Yes

☐ No (If no, please move on to Title IV Program Responsibilities)

How does the institution verify the identity of students enrolled in these courses?

Secure Login and passcode

How does the method of verification make reasonable efforts to protect student privacy?

Student data is maintained in secure campus, student information system and not shared with other companies, vendors, institutions etc.
5. Title IV Program Responsibilities

Institutions that do not receive Title IV funding should skip this section and go to item 6, Publication of Student Outcome Data.

This requirement has several components the institution must address. The institution staff compiling this information should work with the financial aid office and the chief financial officer or comptroller. For more information see Federal Requirement 34 CFR §602.16(a)(1)(x).

General Program Responsibilities

a. What is the current status of the institution’s Title IV program (e.g., recertified on date x, provisionally certified on date x, etc.)?

Recertified on 07.01.2015

b. When was the institution’s most recent Title IV program review?

Date: 06.30.2018

c. Has the institution been audited or inspected by the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Education since the last comprehensive evaluation by HLC?

☐ Yes
☒ No

Provide the most recent Title IV program review, or other inspection or audit report since the last comprehensive evaluation by HLC, as Appendix A.
d. List any limitation, suspension or termination actions imposed on the institution by the U.S. Department of Education (hereafter referred to as “the Department”) since the last comprehensive evaluation by HLC and the reason for such actions. (Use N/A for not applicable.)

N/A

e. List any fines, letters of credit or heightened cash monitoring imposed on the institution by the Department since the last comprehensive evaluation by HLC and the reason for such actions. (Use N/A for not applicable.)

N/A

f. What response and corrective actions have the institution taken in regard to these Department actions? (Use N/A for not applicable.)

N/A

g. What are the consequences of these actions by the Department for the institution’s short- and long-term financial health? (Use N/A for not applicable.)

N/A

h. What are the findings from the OMB Circular A-133 portion of the institution’s three most recent audited financial statements, which identifies material weaknesses in the processing of financial aid?

1. 6/30/2018 see findings 2018-001 and 2018-002 p.8
2. 6/30/2017 see findings 2017-001 and 2017-002 p.9
3. 6/30/2016, see findings 2016-001 and 2016-002 p.9

All findings can be found [https://www.schoolcraft.edu/](https://www.schoolcraft.edu/)

i. In which of the following Title IV federal financial aid programs does the institution participate? Select all that apply:

- [X] Pell Grant
- [ ] Federal Family Education Loan
- [X] Federal Direct Stafford Loan
- [ ] Direct PLUS Loan
- [X] Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant
- [X] Federal Work Study
- [ ] Perkins Loans
- [ ] Academic Competitiveness Grant
Provide action letters issued by the Department that explain its rationale for any actions described in D, E and H (if applicable) and provide any reports issued by the institution, if available, demonstrating improvement as Appendix B.

For more information see Federal Requirement 34 CFR §668.16.

Financial Responsibility Requirements

a. What were the outcomes of the three most recent Department reviews of the institution’s composite ratios and financial audits?

1. 6/30/2018
   - With 68/75: -3.70
   - Without 68/75: +0.88
2. 6/30/2017
   - With 68/75: -1.85
   - Without 68/75: +2.09
3. 6/30/2016
   - With 68/75: -3.65
   - Without 68/75: +0.63

b. Have there been any fines, penalties, letters of credit or other requirements imposed by the Department as a result of these reviews?

☐ Yes
☒ No

Note: HLC also annually analyzes each institution’s financial ratios to determine whether there might be financial concerns. The peer review team checks with the institution and the HLC staff to determine whether HLC or the Department has previously raised concerns about the institution’s finances based on these ratios.

c. What actions has the institution taken or does it plan to take in response to any concerns raised by HLC or the Department? Please insert narrative below. (Use N/A for not applicable.)

N/A

Provide any action letters issued by the Department that explain its rationale for any actions it may have taken (if applicable) and evidence of institutional improvement as Appendix C.

For more information see Federal Requirements 34 CFR §§668.15, 668.23, 668.171, 668.173, and 668.174.

Related HLC Requirements: Core Components 5.A, 2.B; Assumed Practice D.

Campus Crime Information, Athletic Participation and Financial Aid, and Related Disclosures

Title IV responsibilities include the legal obligation to disclose information to students and to the public about campus crime, athletic participation and financial aid.
a. What administrator or office on campus is responsible for ensuring that these disclosures are regularly compiled and published and that the data are accurate?

Schoolcraft Police Department, Chief of Police: Steve Kaufman, MS

b. Has the institution been the subject of any federal investigation related to any of the required disclosures for Title IV responsibilities?

☐ Yes
☒ No

If yes, does the institution have any findings from the Department regarding these disclosures?

☐ Yes
☐ No

If yes, explain any findings related to any of the required disclosures for Title IV and corrective action plans the institution may have to remedy the findings.

c. Provide the web address where this information is made available to the public.

Link: https://www.schoolcraft.edu/police/annual-security-report

For more information see Federal Requirements 34 CFR §§668.41, 668.42, 668.43, 668.44, 668.46, and 668.49.

Student Right to Know/Equity in Athletics

Title IV responsibilities require that institutions provide to students and the public graduation/completion rates for the student body by gender, ethnicity, receipt of Pell grants and other data as well as information about the process for withdrawing as a student, cost of attendance, policies on refund and return of Title IV financial aid, current academic programs and faculty, names of applicable accrediting agencies, description of facilities for disabled students, and the institution’s policy on enrollment in study abroad. In addition, certain institutions need to disclose their transfer-out rate. Also, institutions with athletic programs are required to disclose athletic participation rates and financial support data.

a. What administrator or office on campus is responsible for ensuring that these disclosures are regularly compiled and published and that the data are accurate?

Director of Athletics, Cali Crawford, MS
b. Has the institution been the subject of any federal investigation related to any of the required disclosures for Student Right to Know/Equity in Athletics?

☐ Yes
☒ No

If yes, does the institution have any findings from the Department regarding these disclosures?

☐ Yes
☐ No

If yes, explain any findings related to any of the required disclosures for Student Right to Know/Equity in Athletics and corrective action plans the institution may have to remedy the findings.

c. Provide the web address where this information is made available to the public.

Link: [https://www.schoolcraft.edu/consumer-information](https://www.schoolcraft.edu/consumer-information)

For more information see Federal Requirements 34 CFR §§668.41, 668.45, 668.48, and 668.8.

*Related HLC Requirement: Assumed Practice A.6.*

**Satisfactory Academic Progress Policy**

The institution is required to have a Satisfactory Academic Progress policy for determining whether an otherwise eligible student is making satisfactory academic progress in his or her educational program and may receive assistance under Title IV, HEA programs.

a. Is such a policy readily available to students?

☒ Yes
☐ No

b. Does it satisfy federal requirements?

☒ Yes
☐ No

c. Does the institution have any findings from the Department regarding this policy?

☐ Yes
☒ No
d. Provide the web address where this information is made available to the public.


For more information see Federal Requirement 34 CFR §668.34.

*Related HLC Requirements: Criterion 3.A; Assumed Practice A.5.*

6. Publication of Student Outcome Data

Student outcome data, as defined in federal definitions, should be made available to the public through the institution’s website—for instance, linked to the institution’s home page, included within the top three levels of the website or easily found through a search of related terms on the website—and should be clearly labeled as such. Any technical terms in the data should be defined, and any necessary information on the method used to compile the data should be included. Data may be provided at the institutional or department level or both, but the institution must disclose student outcome data that address the broad variety of its programs, (both undergraduate and graduate, as applicable) including outcome data from each program level.

Are student outcome data published on the institution’s website following the specifications above?

- [x] Yes
- [ ] No

Provide a link to the webpage(s) that contains the student outcome data.

[Link(s): https://www.schoolcraft.edu/consumer-information]

For more information see Federal Requirement 34 CFR §602.16(a)(1)(i).

*Related HLC Requirement: Assumed Practice A.6.*

7. Standing With State and Other Accrediting Agencies

List any relationships the institution has with any specialized, professional or institutional accreditor or with any governing or coordinating bodies in states in which the institution has a presence. Note whether the institution or any of its programs is on a sanction, is provisionally approved or has lost status with any state agency or accrediting body.
*All of our programs are in good standing with specialized, professional, institutional accreditor or with any governing or coordinating bodies in the state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accrediting Body</th>
<th>Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Department of Education/ Council For the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP)</td>
<td>Michigan Alternate Route to Teacher Certification (MARITC) Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Commission on Law Enforcement Standards (MCOLES)</td>
<td>Criminal Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Culinary Federation Education Foundation Accrediting Commission (ACFEFAC)</td>
<td>Culinary Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC)</td>
<td>Early Childhood Education-Children’s Learning Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee on Accreditation of Educational Programs for the Emergency Medical Services Professions (COAEMSP)</td>
<td>Emergency Medical/ Paramedic Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Department of Health and Human Services</td>
<td>Emergency Medical Services/Education Courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Fire Marshal - Fire Fighter Training Division</td>
<td>Fire Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission on Accreditation for Health Informatics and Information Management Education (CAHIIIM)</td>
<td>Health Information Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Certification Board of Therapeutic Massage and Bodywork Assigned Program</td>
<td>Massage Therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission on Accreditation of Allied Health Education Programs (CAAHEP) upon recommendation of Medical Assisting Education Review Board (MAERB)</td>
<td>Medical Assisting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accreditation Commission for Education in Nursing (ACEN)</td>
<td>Associate in Applied Sciences-Nursing Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Practical Nursing Certificate Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**List of Appendices**

Please read each section of this document carefully for instructions on the information and material to be included in these appendices.

**Title IV Program Responsibilities**

- **Appendix A** ........ General program responsibilities: Most recent program review or other inspection or audit reports since last comprehensive evaluation.

- **Appendix B** ........ General program responsibilities: Action letters issued by the Department that explain its rationale for any Department actions any reports issued by the institution, if available, demonstrating improvement.

- **Appendix C** ........ Financial responsibility requirements: Action letters issued by the Department that explain its rationale for any actions it may have taken (if applicable) and evidence of institutional improvement.

Provide the web address where students and the public can find information about the institution's standing with state agencies and accrediting bodies.

Link: [https://www.schoolcraft.edu/accreditation/accredited-programs](https://www.schoolcraft.edu/accreditation/accredited-programs)

[https://www.hlcommission.org/component/directory/?Itemid=&Action=ShowBasic&instid=1363](https://www.hlcommission.org/component/directory/?Itemid=&Action=ShowBasic&instid=1363)

For more information see Federal Requirements 34 CFR §§602.28, 668.41 and 668.43.
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Independent Auditor's Report

To the Board of Trustees
Schoolcraft College

We have audited the financial statements of Schoolcraft College (the "College") and the aggregate of its discretely presented component units as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the College's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated October 8, 2018, which contained unmodified opinions on the financial statements of the College and its discretely presented component units. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. We have not performed any procedures with respect to the audited financial statements subsequent to October 8, 2018.

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for the purpose of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

October 8, 2018
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

Independent Auditor’s Report

To Management and the Board of Trustees
Schoolcraft College

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Schoolcraft College (the “College”) and the aggregate of its discretely presented component units as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018 and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the College’s basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated October 8, 2018. The financial statements of the discretely presented component units were not audited in accordance with Government Auditing Standards.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the College’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the College’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the College’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the College’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the College’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not our objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.
To Management and the Board of Trustees
Schoolcraft College

**Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the College's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the College's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

October 8, 2018
Independent Auditor's Report

To the Board of Trustees
Schoolcraft College

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Schoolcraft College's (the "College") compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on the College's major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2018. The College's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the College's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (the "Uniform Guidance"). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the College's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the College's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the College complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2018.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the College is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the College's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the College's internal control over compliance.
A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Findings 2018-001 and 2018-002, that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

The College's responses to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The College's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

October 8, 2018
## Schoolcraft College

### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

**Year Ended June 30, 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Agency/Pass-through Agency/Program Title</th>
<th>CFDA Number</th>
<th>Pass-through or Entity Identifying Number</th>
<th>College Grant Number</th>
<th>Total Amount Provided to Subrecipients</th>
<th>Federal Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clusters:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Financial Assistance Cluster - U.S. Department of Education:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Pell Grant Program</td>
<td>84.063</td>
<td>P063P171652</td>
<td>45-5658</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 12,655,518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants</td>
<td>84.007</td>
<td>P007A172073</td>
<td>45-5653</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>230,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Work-Study</td>
<td>84.033</td>
<td>P033A172073</td>
<td>45-5655</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>156,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Direct Student Loans</td>
<td>84.268</td>
<td>P268K171652</td>
<td>45-5662</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8,403,776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Student Financial Assistance Cluster</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21,446,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway Safety Cluster - U.S. Department of Transportation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle Safety Training 2017 - Passed through the State of Michigan Department of State</td>
<td>20.616</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40-3221</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23,588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentive Grant Program to Increase Motorcyclist Safety 2018 - Passed through the State of Michigan Department of State</td>
<td>20.612</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40-3216</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentive Grant Program to Increase Motorcyclist Safety 2017 - Passed through the State of Michigan Department of State</td>
<td>20.612</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40-3218</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Highway Safety Cluster</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Federal Awards:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Education - Passed through the State of Michigan Department of Education - Vocational Education - Basic Grants to States</td>
<td>84.048</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>552,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Small Business Administration - Passed through the Michigan Small Business Development Center: Satellite Office 2018 - Passed through Eastern Michigan University</td>
<td>59.037</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40-3345</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite Office 2017 - Passed through Eastern Michigan University</td>
<td>59.037</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40-3347</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Michigan Small Business Development Center</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total federal awards</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 68,167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.
Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the “Schedule”) includes the federal grant activity of Schoolcraft College (the “College”) under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2018. The information in the Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (the “Uniform Guidance”). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the College, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of the College.

Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported in the Schedule are reported on the same basis of accounting as the basic financial statements. Such expenditures are recognized following, as applicable, either the cost principles in OMB Circular A-21, Cost Principles for Educational Institutions, or the cost principles contained in Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Pass-through entity identifying numbers are presented where available.

The College has elected not to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate to recover indirect costs as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

Note 3 - Adjustments and Transfers

As allowable and in accordance with federal regulations issued by the U.S. Department of Education, in the year ended June 30, 2017, the College carried forward $27,045 of the 2016-2017 Federal Work Study Program (84.033) award and $46,818 of the 2016-2017 Federal Supplemental Educational Program (84.007) award, which it spent in the 2017-2018 award year. In addition, in the year ended June 30, 2018, the College carried forward $22,822 of the 2017-2018 Federal Work Study Program (84.033) award and $43,707 of the 2017-2018 Federal Supplemental Educational Program (84.007) award, which it intends to spend in the 2018-2019 award year.
Schoolcraft College

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year Ended June 30, 2018

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:
- Material weakness(es) identified? _____ Yes  __X__ No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses? _____ Yes  __X__ None reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? _____ Yes  __X__ None reported

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:
- Material weakness(es) identified? _____ Yes  __X__ No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses? __X__ Yes  ____None reported

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 2 CFR 200.516(a)?  __X__ Yes  ____No

Identification of major programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFDA Number</th>
<th>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</th>
<th>Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>84.063, 84.007, 84.033, and 84.268</td>
<td>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</td>
<td>Unmodified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: $750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? _____ Yes  __X__ No

Section II - Financial Statement Audit Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Number</th>
<th>Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Year</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Reference Number

**Reference Number**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Number</th>
<th>CFDA Number, Federal Agency, and Program Name</th>
<th>Federal Award Identification Number and Year</th>
<th>Pass-through Entity</th>
<th>Finding Type</th>
<th>Repeat Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018-001</td>
<td><strong>Student Financial Assistance Cluster,</strong> Department of Education - CFDA 84.268, Federal Direct Student Loans and CFDA 84.063, Federal Pell Grant Program</td>
<td>P268K171652 2018, P063P171652, 2018</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Significant deficiency</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Criteria**

Changes in a student's status are required to be reported to the National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) or the guaranty agency within 30 days of the College's determination of a change or included in a student status confirmation report sent to NSLDS within 60 days of the College's determination of the status change (Pell, 34 CFR Section 690.83(b)(2); Direct Loan, 34 CFR Section 685.309). Per the NSLDS Enrollment Reporting Guide, any errors identified in the submission must be corrected and submitted within 10 days.

**Condition**

The College did not report student status change to the NSLDS in a timely manner for a student who received student financial aid who was identified in an error report from the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) as having a duplicate social security number (SSN) with another student.

**Questioned Costs**

None

**Identification of How Questioned Costs Were Computed**

N/A

**Context**

Of the 40 students selected for status change testing, the status change was not reported timely for one student.

**Cause and Effect**

The College submits system-generated reports on a monthly basis to report enrollment status changes to the NSC. The NSC uses these reports to complete the NSLDS roster in order to report the changes to NSLDS on a monthly basis for students who receive student financial aid. If a student has been flagged by the NSC for having a duplicate SSN, the student's updated status is not submitted to the NSLDS, and the College must manually update the student's NSLDS enrollment file if they received financial aid. During the award year, the College was not consistently performing the required manual update to the NSLDS enrollment file for students flagged by the NSC for duplicate SSNs. As a result, status changes for certain students who received financial aid included in the NSC error report were not reported to the NSLDS in a timely manner.

**Recommendation**

The College should implement controls to ensure any errors identified and returned by the NSC are updated accurately and timely within the NSLDS system for students receiving student financial aid.
Views of Responsible Officials and Corrective Action Plan - The College has put a process into place to address these types of errors in the future. An email will be sent to any student flagged by the NSC as having a duplicate SSN requesting that they provide verification of their SSN via fax, email or walk-in to the records office. If the student fails to comply, the records office will reach out to financial aid to obtain a copy of the student’s FAFSA. The document will be uploaded to NSC as part of the student’s regular enrollment file. Next, an email will be sent to enrollment update, verifying the student’s enrollment dates and proof of SSN on the FAFSA report provided the financial aid office, and a separate email will be sent to financial aid, requesting that the student’s enrollment file be submitted to NSLDS. Once financial aid builds the enrollment file on NSLDS, a confirmation email will be sent to the records office from financial aid.
## Section III - Federal Program Audit Findings (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Number</th>
<th>Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018-002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CFDA Number, Federal Agency, and Program Name** - Student Financial Assistance Cluster, Department of Education - CFDA 84.007, Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (FSEOG)

**Federal Award Identification Number and Year** - P007A172073, 2018

**Pass-through Entity** - N/A

**Finding Type** - Significant deficiency

**Repeat Finding** - No

**Criteria** - FSEOG selection practices must be applied in a manner that would assure a reasonable consistency over the entire award year. If an institution is awarding FSEOG to a student for a full academic year, a portion of the grant must be paid during each payment period (34 CFR Section 676.16(a)).

**Condition** - The College did not pay a portion of FSEOG awards during each semester for certain students.

**Questioned Costs** - None

**Identification of How Questioned Costs Were Computed** - N/A

**Context** - The College did not have adequate procedures in place to ensure FSEOG was being disbursed to eligible students during each payment period. The College identified the issue and disbursed $210,860 after June 30, 2018 to eligible students who did not receive payments during the academic year.

**Cause and Effect** - The College has the ability to freeze individual awards in the Student Financial Assistance award packaging system to prevent over-awarding. The freeze for SEOG was applied during a portion of the fall and winter semesters. Eligible students that submitted an ISIR during that portion of the award year were not awarded or disbursed FSEOG until after June 30, 2018.

**Recommendation** - The College should implement controls to ensure FSEOG is being awarded consistently throughout the award year and that eligible students are receiving the grant funds in each payment period that costs were incurred by the student.
Schoolcraft College

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Year Ended June 30, 2018

Section III - Federal Program Audit Findings (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Number</th>
<th>Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2018-002 (Cont'd) | **Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions** - The College has developed and is currently utilizing the process of auto-packaging the FSEOG award during the ISIR import process. When a student submits their ISIR to the College, it is obtained by the financial aid office through an ISIR import process. During that process, the ISIR is evaluated and aid will be awarded if there are no requests made by the Department of Education (DOE), i.e., verification selection or other document requests. If the student meets the eligibility criteria to be awarded FSEOG and if funding permits, the student will be awarded the FSEOG award. We will continue this consistent awarding process until all budget allocation funds have been awarded for the academic year.

The freeze flag that is used to stop the awarding of certain awards during the year will be monitored closely as well. The purpose of the freeze flag is to prevent over-spending of an award during the course of an academic year through the ISIR import and auto-packaging process. We have developed a process for remaining consistent when the freeze flag is applied and additional FSEOG funding becomes available. We will monitor all ISIR imports that occur while the freeze flag is applied. If additional FSEOG funds become available later in the year we will evaluate the ISIR imports in date order from when the freeze flag was applied. This will ensure that the FSEOG award follows a consistent awarding pattern throughout the year for all eligible students. All students awarded will be awarded the FSEOG fund to cover any costs that were incurred by the student for each payment period. |